

## DEVICE FOR MEASURING CLEARANCE DISTANCE AND SPEED OF OBJECTS

Field Of The Invention

The present invention relates to a device for measuring the clearance distance and the speed of objects using radar pulses.

5 Background Information

Radar pulses are emitted for detecting objects using radar sensors, according to German Published Patent Application No.19963006. The pulses reflected from a target object are evaluated in such a way that different location resolutions and different dimensions with regard to distance and length of a virtual barrier may be achieved. The received radar pulses  
10 are correlated with delayed transmitter-side radar pulses in a receiver-side mixer. Speeds are measured via differential frequencies (Doppler frequencies between the transmitted oscillator frequency and the signal reflected by the target and received). Such radar sensors having primary information distance find application as parking aids, ACC, Stop&Go Operation and blind spot detection in the motor vehicle field. For precrash sensing, the primary information  
15 is the speed.

Summary Of The Invention

Using a receiving-side mixer that correlates received radar pulses with delayed transmitter-side radar pulses, a control unit for specifying range gates within which the radar pulses that  
20 are to be supplied to the mixer are able to be continuously changed increasing or decreasing with respect to their pulse delay, a switching device for realizing a plurality of operating modes, especially for holding constant the transmitter-side radar pulses, to be supplied to the mixer, with respect to their delay, so as, in particular, to measure Doppler frequencies, for resetting or increasing the delay to a current or a new starting value and/or more continuous  
25 change of the delay especially to a direction that is opposite to a preceding change, and an evaluation device for distance and speed values made in the light of mixer output signals, a radar sensor is able to fulfill simultaneously several functional requirements, such as parking assistance, precrash and ACC, Stop&Go, and undertake a necessary intelligent switchover, so that, at each point in time, each of the functions receives the data it needs within specified

tolerance ranges. Conflicts conditioned on the situation, especially measuring conflicts, may be avoided thereby.

A mode switchover from clearance measuring EM to speed measuring GM is not able to take place at just any time. On account of the sweep method (continuous change of the transmitter-side radar pulses, supplied to the mixer, with regard to its delay) time delays may occur here. Using the measures of the present invention, these time delays may be prevented or reduced.

10 In the operating mode of distance measuring, ambiguities, such as phantom objects and apparent reflections may occur. In the case of a one-sensor configuration and tracking of a plurality of targets, ambiguities correspond to two objects (that are approaching) are located at the same distance point, and, based on the measuring data alone, cannot be distinguished as to whether there is one or the actual number of objects. In the case of a one-sensor  
15 configuration and the tracking of a plurality of targets, ambiguities mean that an object has a plurality of reflection centers at different distances, and, only based on the distance information of the radar sensor, it cannot be distinguished whether a plurality or one object is involved. Phantom objects occur during distance measurement because of the most varied, radar-specific effects, such as Doppler reflections, interfering transmitters, .... On  
20 the other hand, in the case of a two-sensor configuration and the use of triangulation methods, apparent reflections may occur that misrepresent objects at a location where there is no object. Such ambiguities, phantom objects and apparent reflections may be drastically reduced by using the measures according to the present invention. It is also possible to lift the restriction on the speed measurement to following only one object, and to ensure the  
25 same multi-target capability as with the distance measurement, and at the same time to carry out a relative speed measurement via Doppler.

Moving objects may be detected based on an increasing speed gradient/amplitude. The position of a movable object may also be detected based on the maximum amplitude in the  
30 Doppler frequency measurement. A speed offset of an object may also be estimated from the detected position. When there is a range gate change, a Doppler frequency measurement is possible by the simple control of the switchover device. The switchover device may also be able to be controlled in an event-triggered manner, so that, based on a detected reflection, the system may get to operating mode speed measurement or to a change in the

delay of the radar pulses supplied to the mixer on the transmitter side in the opposite direction.

A plausibility check of objects may take place by the evaluation of additional reflections, especially if the delay of the transmitter-side radar pulses supplied to the mixer is undertaken in the opposite direction after a detected reflection.

A clearance distance history for the detection of object patterns may be made up from the distance measurements obtained.

Based on speed measurements, estimated values for expected crash situations may be drawn up. In particular, one may switch over into operating mode speed measurement in order to measure Doppler frequencies.

#### Brief Description Of The Drawings

Figure 1 shows a schematic basic circuit diagram of a device according to the present invention.

Figures 2 to 4 show various strategies using combined measuring modes.

Figure 5 shows the distance measuring operation.

Figure 6 shows the speed measuring operation.

Figure 7 shows an object detection.

Figure 8 shows a position detection.

Figure 9 shows estimated speed offsets.

Figure 10 shows preparation of situation analyses.

Figure 11 shows a precrash time sequence.

### Detailed Description

In principle, the clearance distance measurement takes place by an indirect travel time measurement of an emitted radar pulse. For this, according to Figure 1, a carrier frequency oscillator 1 is provided, having an oscillation frequency around 24 GHz, which passes on its oscillation frequency to two switches 3 and 4. The oscillation frequency is pulse-frequency modulated by switch 3, so that radar pulses reach transmission antenna 5, whose repetition frequency and width are specified by pulse frequency generation 6 within control device 7. The indirect travel time measurement takes place by the evaluation using a receiving-side mixer 8, which correlates the radar pulses received by receiving antenna 9 with radar pulses in each case delayed by a specified time, which reach mixer 8 via switch 4. If a low-frequency signal is present at the output of mixer 8, the travel time of the reflected radar pulse corresponds to pulse delay  $dt$ , and the distance of the reflecting object may be calculated by the equation  $s = 0.5 * dt * c$  (evaluating device 11).

The speed measurement takes place using the evaluation of the Doppler frequencies (evaluation device 11), which are also present at the output of mixer 8. For this, pulse delay  $dt$  is held until an object at a relative speed  $v$  has approached the radar by  $s$ .

One should note, in this instance, that  $s$  has exactly a width of  $b = 2 * pd * c$ , which is proportional to the duration  $pd$  of the radar pulse. This discrete „clearance distance point“ having extension  $b$  is called the range gate. The specification of the range gates within which the transmitter-side radar pulses that are to be supplied to mixer 8 (via switch 4), with respect to their pulse delay, are continuously changeable increasing and/or decreasing, also takes place via control device 7, for example, via appropriately controllable delay lines.

The radar pulse sensor being looked at here is not able to measure distance and speed in parallel, but is able to have more than one mixer, having the same pulse delay  $dt$  for all mixers. In the distance mode EM, the radar sensor sweeps through pulse delay  $dt$ , and consequently a certain distance range continuous change of the pulse delay). Using appropriate evaluation software, in this connection a plurality of targets may be tracked. In speed mode GM, to which one may switch over via a switchover device 10 within control device 7, pulse delay  $dt$  is held until the object to be measured has penetrated into the range gate and generates a Doppler frequency at the mixer output ( $IF_{out}$ ). If the Doppler

information has been read off, the radar sensor may switch over to a next range gate with regard to pulse delay  $dt$ , and wait for the next Doppler information.

In the following, we describe strategies as to how pulse delay  $dt$  may be activated in such a way that a combined measuring mode is created, which combines the characteristics of distance mode EM and speed mode GM. The strategies are illustrated in Figures 2 through 4.

Strategy A (Figure 2): Beginning at close range  $s_1$ , the region away from the radar sensor is searched for reflecting objects. At  $s_2$  this procedure is broken off. Pulse delay  $dt$  is held to a constant value, which now makes it possible to measure Doppler frequencies at  $s_2$ . At the earliest after a recorded Doppler frequency and at the latest after a maximum holding period, pulse delay  $dt$  is reset/switched back to  $dt = 2 \cdot s_1 / c$  (current starting value).

Strategy B (Figure 2): Beginning at close range  $s_1$ , the range of the sensor path is searched using continuously increasing pulse delay. At  $s_3$  an object  $O_1$  is detected. In order to assign to object  $O_1$  a low-tolerance relative speed such as the Doppler information, pulse delay  $dt$  is switched back to  $dt = 2 \cdot s_4 / c$ , using switchover device 10. At the earliest after a recorded Doppler frequency and at the latest after a maximum holding period, pulse delay  $dt$  is switched back again to  $dt = 2 \cdot s_1 / c$ . If no relative speed is able to be assigned to object  $O_1$ , one may assume that the object has distanced itself. In this connection, after holding period  $t_{\text{Halte}}$ , a  $dt = 2 \cdot (s_3 + \Delta s) / c$  may be set, in an analogous manner.  $(s_3 - s_4)$  and  $\Delta s$  are to be applied. If again no relative speed is able to be assigned to object 1, the procedure is broken off. This, in addition, improves the performance for suppressing apparent reflections and ambiguities, since apparent reflections have no relative speed. By contrast, ambiguities in many cases have a nonuniform relative speed. This applies especially to multi-sensor configurations.

Strategy C (Figure 2): A range gate at  $s_5$  is approached by a scan of  $s_1$ . After ascertaining the Doppler frequency or a  $t_{\text{Halte}}$ , the range between  $s_5$  and  $s_1$  is searched one more time using opposing pulse delay. In that way it may be excluded that, by the setting of a range gate, an object closer than  $s_5$  is overlooked. On account of the repeated scanning, the determination of the distance may be improved for an additional range gate for an object having a plurality of

different reflection centers. This further improves the performance for suppressing apparent reflections and ambiguities.

Strategy D (Figure 3): Permits an immediate plausibility check of an object which for the first time has approached closer than  $s_6$  to the radar sensor. This is necessary in case a detection decision has to be made at a distance that is closely below  $s_6$ .

Strategy E (Figure 3): The slope steepness lowers the sensitivity, but also the scanning cycle. If an algorithm is expecting an object having a large radar cross section, a lower sensitivity for checking presence is sufficient. Here too, because of the downward slope, a plausibility check that is as early as possible takes place for the more distant objects at  $s_7$ .

Strategy F (Figure 4): Permits a faster plausibility check of any desired object as soon as it has become detected by the signal processing. As soon as a reflection is detected, a pulse delay  $\Delta t$  is lowered again in the opposite direction, in order to obtain an increased plausibility by an additional reflection and less susceptibility to phantom objects. In one cycle, object  $s_{10}$  is checked for plausibility 6 times, while  $s_{11}$  is checked twice, i.e. the closest-lying objects have their plausibility checked best. The object at  $s_9$  has not been tracked further in this exemplary scenario as a phantom object. In this instance,  $s_8$  is the shortest reach of the sensor. If one puts the emphasis on the plausibility checking of objects that have just entered the reach of the radar sensor,  $s_8$  may be substituted by the maximum reach of the radar sensor and the scanning direction towards the radar sensor may be reversed.

The combination of the various strategies also brings along additional advantages, such as the combination of strategies D and A. If  $s_6$  is the maximum reach of the radar sensor, each object of an object list that was generated from this may be supplemented by one or more measuring strategies A using relative speeds derived from Doppler data.

The strategy may also be formed in such a way that, after each change of range gates, a switchover from distance measuring to speed measuring is undertaken.

Control device 7 may be designed as a microcontroller, and may assume the tasks of pulse frequency generation 6 (clock pulse, for instance 5 MHz), pulse delay, switchover 10 and evaluation 11.

Evaluation device 11 may determine the limits of the range gates, in the light of the ascertained speed values.

- 5 Figure 5 shows the distance measuring operation in scan mode. Various range gates have different shades of gray.

Figure 6 shows the speed measuring operation, including detection of half waves (Doppler frequency). A binary signal is formed from the half waves in order to determine the zero  
10 crossings, and therewith the Doppler frequency, more accurately.

Figure 7 shows an object detection based on an increasing amplitude/gradient in the speed measuring operation.

- 15 Figure 8 is used for illustrating the detection of the position of a moving object, based on the maximum amplitude reached in the Doppler frequency measuring. From the detected position of an object, a speed offset - vector  $V_r(r)$  – within a range gate may also be estimated (Figure 9).

- 20 Figure 10 shows how a clearance distance history (distance history) may be drawn up from individual target measurements by collecting individual measurements (collect past peak list) and setting up a time/peak diagram. From this, a situation analysis may be drawn up and a detection of object patterns in the light of the progression of the peak list. This is important especially for the estimation of expected crash situations.

- 25 Figure 11 shows a precrash time sequence. The distance measurements are time-triggered (within 10 ms a 7m range is scanned in each case). The speed measurements are event-triggered in the range of 1.5 to 18 ms. From the processing of the measured values, crash situations may be estimated for the purpose of giving out advance warning signals for an  
30 expected crash (prefire signal) or parameters for the triggering of an air bag or the correction of the approaching speed (preset parameters).